Theologizing at the Service of Life

The Contextual Theological Methodology of the Federation of Asian Bishops' Conference (FABC)

Looking back at the 1970 Asian Bishops' Meeting in Manila which gave birth to the Federation of Asian Bishops' Conferences (FABC), it would certainly be an understatement to say that the FABC has made a highly significant impact on the development, orientation and growth of the spiritual and theological life of the Asian local churches during these past thirty years (1970-2000). Writing in 1996, Stephen Bevans has observed that “the FABC could look back on twenty-five years of activity which had yielded an impressive body of documents that are incredibly...
rich, amazingly visionary, and truly worth careful reading and study". These “impressive body of documents” are the result of seven Plenary Assemblies, consultations, congresses and colloquia, several Bishops’ Institutes on Lay Apostolate (BILA), Missionary Apostolate (BIMA), Interreligious Affairs on the Theology of Dialogue (BIRA), Social Action (BISA) and Social Communication (BISCOM) and the Faith Encounters in Social Action (FEISA), as well as important position papers from the FABC Office of Theological Concerns (formerly known as the FABC Theological Advisory Commission). An Indian theologian, Felix Wilfred, has remarked that “the FABC has created horizontal communication between the bishops and the bishops’ conferences; it has fostered a spirit of collegiality, communion and cooperation among them”.

While much has been written on the theme, thematic orientation and impact of the theological endeavours of the FABC and there-

---

2 To-date seven plenary assemblies have been held: FABC I: Evangelization in Modern Day Asia (Taipei, Taiwan, 1974); FABC II: Prayer — the Life of the Church in Asia (Calcutta, India, 1978); FABC III: The Church — A Community of Faith in Asia (Bangkok, Thailand, 1982); FABC IV: The Vocation and Mission of the Laity in the Church and in the World of Asia (Tokyo, Japan, 1986); FABC V: Journeying Together Toward the Millennium (Bandung, Indonesia, 1990); FABC VI: Christian Discipleship in Asia Today: Service to Life (Manila, Philippines, 1995); and FABC VII: A Renewed Church in Asia on a Mission of Love and Service (Sampran, Thailand, January 2000).
3 These include the Colloquia on Ministries in the Church (Hong Kong, 1977), Social Doctrine of the Church in the Context of Asia (Pattaya, 1992) and the Church in Asia in the 21st Century (Pattaya, 1997), an International Theological Colloquium entitled “Being Church in Asia: Journeying with the Spirit into Fuller Life” (Pattaya, 1994), an International Congress on Mission (Manila, 1979), and a Consultation on Christian Presence Among Muslims in Asia (Vannuwa, 1983).
6 Perhaps the most helpful, albeit brief reference to the theological methodology of the FABC is the following observation by Stephen Bevans: “What is clearly evident is that one reads the various FABC documents is the employment of a method that starts from experience, from lived, actual realities. In every document issued by a plenary assembly (with the exception of the third plenary assembly which begins with a theology of church) and in many documents that result from the various bishops’ institutes, the starting point for reflection is Asian reality. Asia, say the documents, is a continent in transition, undergoing modernization, social change and secularization. These things threaten traditional values in Asia, and so the church needs to witness to the rich spiritual heritage that are the hallmarks of Asian religiosity in all its variety.”
8 The closest that the FABC came to a systematic articulation of a theological methodology is BISA VII’s four-stage methodology of “pastoral cycle”: (i) Exposure-immersion exposes parties to and immerses them in the concrete situation of the poor (BISA VII, art. 8, in FAPA Vol. I, 231); (ii) Social analysis focuses on the social, economic, political, cultural and religious systems in a particular context, and seeks to discern the signs of the times with respect to the needs and aspirations of the people within the context of their life experiences and the events of history which affect their lives, while at the same time being attentive to the danger of “deception either by ideology or self-interest and of incompleteness” (BISA VII, art. 9, in FAPA Vol. I, 231); (iii) Contemplation brings about “a heightened awareness that makes us discover God’s presence and activity within social reality”, leading to the discernment of the “mystery of God’s preferential presence and activity among the poor” (BISA VII, art. 11, in FAPA Vol. I, 231); and (iv) Pastoral planning, which seeks to complete the first three stages by formulating practical and realistic policies, strategies, and plans of action in favor of integral human development (BISA VII, art. 12, in FAPA Vol. I, 222).
spose to particular needs. Consequently, as is the case with the FABC, theological methodology is something which is presumed, articulated or even improvised along the way in an evolutionary manner as the theological content is being developed. Therefore, any reflection of the FABC's theological methodology calls for a process of standing back and reflecting on the accomplishments of the FABC's theologizing efforts.

Hence, this essay will distil and evaluate the underlying theological methodology of the FABC, as presented in its official documents during the past three decades of its existence. It will analyze critically each aspect of the five-fold theological methodology of the FABC, viz., (i) commitment and service to life, (ii) dialectical social analysis, (iii) critical introspective contemplation; (iv) triple dialogue with Asian cultures, religions and the poor; and (v) quest for harmony in the task of theologizing in the Asian milieu. It will highlight certain foundational principles which undergird this theological methodology, as well as examine its principal elements, its resources, its interpretive matrix and its criteria of authenticity and credibility.

Foundational Principles of the Contextual Theological Methodology of the FABC

From the various official documents of the FABC, one sees the development of a new way of doing theology with Asian resources in multireligious, multietnic, multilingual and pluricultural Asia, which may be described as the contextualization of the salvific message of the Christian Gospel in the diverse and pluralistic Asian Sitz-im-Leben. Implicit in the FABC's contextual theological methodology is the acknowledgment and acceptance of a fundamental ontological, soteriological and existential relationship between the salvific message of the Christian Gospel and the Asian peoples with their rich religious and cultural traditions, as well as their daily socio-economic challenges. As a new way of doing theology in the Asian milieu, the contextual theological methodology of the FABC seeks to theologize with Asian resources in response to the many pressing challenges which arise out of the concrete specificity of the diverse and pluralistic Asian life experiences.

The term "contextualization" was first coined by Shoki Coe of the Theological Education Fund of the World Council of Churches. The use of this term arose out of a growing concern of the need for the Christian Gospel to be made relevant to the needs and concerns of actual human living in the contemporary world. It also arose in reaction against the universalist-pietistic approaches of traditional classicist theological methodologies. See Shoki Coe, "In Search of Renewal in Theological Education," Theological Education 9 (Summer 1973) 233-43, reprnted as "Contextualization as the Way Toward Reform", in Douglas J. elbows, Asian Christian Theology: Emerging Themes (Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1980) 48-53. The necessity for contextualization has been succinctly expressed by Douglas J. Hall in the following manner: "Contextuality in theology means that the form of faith's self-understanding is always determined by the historical configuration in which the community of belief finds itself. It is this world which inculminates the questions, the concerns, the frustrations and alternatives, the necessities and impossibilities by which the content of the faith must be shaped and reshaped, and finally confessed." See Douglass John Hall, Thinking the Faith: Christian Theology in a North American Context (Minneapolis: Augsburg, 1994) 64.

Stephen Bevans defines contextual theology as a "way of doing theology in which one takes into account the spirit and message of the gospel; the tradition of the Christian people; the culture in which one is theologizing; and social change in that culture, whether brought about by western technological process or the grass-roots struggle for equality, justice and liberation". (See Stephen Bevans, Models of Contextual Theology (Maryknoll: Orbis, 1992), 1). In contrast to classicist theologies which focus primarily on the two loci theologici of scripture and tradition, Bevans points out that contextual theology adds a third theological locus, viz., human experience. Accordingly, "theology that is contextual realizes that culture, history, contemporary thought forms, and so forth are to be considered along with scripture and tradition, as valid sources for theological expression". (Ibid., 2). For a further discussion on contextual theology, see Robert Schreiter, Constructing Local Theologies (Maryknoll: Orbis Books, 1985), Stephen B. Bevans, Models of Contextual Theology (Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 1992) and Peter C. Phan, "Cultural Diversity: A Blessing or a Curse for Theology and Spirituality?" Louvain Studies 19 (1994)195-211.

Asia is borne to some 50 nations and 3.5 billion people, numbering two-thirds of the world's population, of which some 97 million are Catholics. Geographically, Asia may...
In contrast to the traditional discursive orientation of classicist theological methodologies which are invariably metaphysical in orientation, have traditionally focused upon wisdom (sapientia) or rational knowledge (scientia), and are often detached from the daily living experiences of its target audience, the contextual theological methodology of the FABC is primarily pastoral in orientation, seeking both to be shaped by, as well as to shape daily life experiences in the multireligious, multiethnic, multilingual and pluricultural Asian Sitz-im-Leben. In other words, the starting point of the FABC’s contextual theological methodology is not the universal precepts of lex aeterna and lex naturalis, or abstract metaphysical principles pertaining to God, Revelation and the Christian Gospel, or even conciliar, papal or doctrinal pronouncements, but rather the life experiences of the Asian peoples. Thus, the contextual theological methodology of the FABC explicitly rejects an approach of “doing theology in Asia” in favour of an approach of “doing Asian theology,” i.e., a theological methodology which takes the Asian milieu as the starting point for theologizing.

The attractiveness of such a theological methodology is clear for six reasons. First, it recognizes the fact that socio-cultural contexts play a very important role in all attempts to live and flesh out the soteriological message of the Christian Gospel within new socio-cultural contexts. The need for contextualization may be seen in a simple example: historical time periods such as “Late Antiquity”, “Middle Ages”, “Renaissance”, and “Enlightenment” are certainly important and significant time periods in the history of Christianity in Europe, but these periods have no meaning whatsoever for local Christian communities in Asia, e.g., the Dalit Catholics of India, the Iban Catholics of Borneo or the East Timorese Catholics. Their socio-religious contexts have to be determined by events in their time frame and geographical location, history and tradition, culture and language, ethnicity and identity, as well as socio-political and economic systems. Second, because it takes a highly nuanced view of socio-cultural developments (rather than a simplistic positivistic view), it does not preclude the possibility of the need for the Christian Gospel to be counter-cultural or transcultural in particular socio-cultural contexts. Third, it is dynamic because it perceives socio-cultural realities not as closed and static systems, but as constantly in a state of flux as a result of increasing globalization. Fourth, it also insists that the Christian Gospel cannot be presented as otherworldly, ignoring the suffering, pain and injustice within particular socio-cultural contexts. Fifth, hermeneutical issues within any theological methodology are necessarily context dependent and local, inasmuch as the context determines the characterization of the issues, their presuppositions and the methodological approaches for resolving them. Sixth, the hermeneutics of contextualization seeks to relate and integrate the Christian Gospel and the diverse mosaic of Asian contexts with each other, because the Christian Gospel is not manifested merely in abstract, philosophical and intellectual ideas and concepts, but rather, in a community’s socio-cultural thought, forms and processes, viz., it is always a contextualized Christian Gospel.

The Five-fold Contextual Theological Methodology of the FABC First Stage: Commitment and Service to Life

The starting point of the FABC’s contextual theological methodology is a commitment and service to life which goes beyond a mere personal, existential, ontological or metaphysical quest for a systematic way of theologizing. This commitment and service to life arises out of a holistic view of life together with a traditional sense of reverence which sees God’s Spirit as active in the diverse and pluralistic Asian milieu. In this regard, one notes that the Final Statement of the 1994 FABC International Theological Colloquium began simply with the following statement: “Life. Vibrant life pulsating in the fecundity of Asia.” Such a statement aptly describes the panorama of religious and cultural diversity in Asia, which comprises a rich and colourful mosaic of many of the world’s ancient religious, philosophical and socio-cultural traditions. As the Sixth FABC Plenary Assembly points out:

We Asians are searching not simply for the meaning of life but for life itself. We are striving and struggling for life because it is a task and a chal—


---


10 As Jerome Crowe explained succinctly: “The gospel can only be experienced and communicated in the form of a particular human culture. There is no such thing as a ‘pure’ gospel, untainted by incorporation into a human culture, because the gospel is not a system of divine truths existing somewhere outside this world and untouched by human feeling, language, and customs but God’s self-involvement in the concrete circumstances of a people’s history and culture.” See JEROME CROWE, From Jerusalem to Antioch: The Gospel Across Cultures (Collegeville: Liturgical Press, 1997), 153-4.

11 Being Church in Asia, art. 1 (in FAPA Vol. II, 217).
lengage. But life is a gift too, a mystery, because our efforts to achieve it are far too short of the ultimate value of life. We speak of life as a becoming — a growing into, a journeying to life and to the source of life (FABC VI, art. 9, emphasis in the original text)²⁹.

Ours is a vision of holistic life... We envision a life with integrity and dignity, a life of compassion for the multitudes, especially for the poor and needy. It is a life of solidarity with every form of life and of sensitive care for the earth... At the heart of our vision of life is the Asian reverential sense of mystery and of the sacred, a spirituality that regards life as sacred and discovers the Transcendent and its gifts even in mundane affairs, in tragedy or victory, in brokenness or wholeness. This deep interiority draws people to experience harmony and inner peace and infuses ethics into all of creation (FABC VI, art. 10, emphasis in the original text)²⁹.

In fact, the theme of the Sixth FABC Plenary Assembly was “Christian Discipleship in Asia Today: Service to Life” and the first two sections of its Final Statement are entitled “25 Years of FABC Commitment to Life” and “A Vision of Life Amid Asian Realities”. In particular, the Sixth FABC Plenary Assembly uses the imagery of Jesus’ foot-washing to underlie its call for commitment and service to life: “We join Jesus in serving life by washing the feet of our neighbors” (FABC VI, art. 14.3)²⁹. In a similar vein, the First FABC International Theological Colloquium describes the relationship between theology and service to life as follows:

We see the work of theology in Asia as a service to life. It has to reflect systematically on themes that are important to the common journey of life with other peoples in Asia, to the life of Christians and their churches in Asia, and to the work of the Asian episcopal conferences. To do this service in a way that is pastorally relevant and fruitful to the life, spirituality and mission of the disciple-community, theology has to start from below, from the underside of history, from the perspective of those who struggle for life, love, justice and freedom. The life-long experiences of living the Christian faith by the various churches in their Asian context are the starting points. Theologizing thus becomes more than faith seeking under-

³⁰ Ibid. For the most recent in-depth discussion of this point, see FABC Office of Theological Concerns, The Spirit and Work in Asia Today, FABC Papers No. 71 (Hong Kong: FABC, 1998).
³³ FAPA Vol. II, 3-5.

standing, but faith seeking life and love, justice and freedom. It is in this way that theology becomes a dynamic process giving meaning to and facilitating the Asian journey to life. It becomes part of the process of becoming and being Church in Asia (Being Church in Asia, arts. 48-50, emphasis added)²⁹.

Thus, one sees clearly that this commitment and service to life reveals the communal character of the FABC’s contextual theological methodology, viz., theologizing is rooted in the local community’s experiences of life, rather than in the intellectual arena of the academy. Theology is not something which is being carried out for the benefit of the community, or even about the community, but rather, together with the community and in solidarity with their life experiences. As the Indian theologian, Felix Wilfred explains: “what we are with the people is more important than what we do for them”²⁹. In particular, such a call for a personal commitment to, and active solidarity with the life experiences of the Asian peoples entails more than mere sympathy, empathy or occasional encounters of theologians, bishops and other pastoral leaders with the daily lives of the Asian peoples, especially the poor and marginalized. Rather, it calls for their personal commitment, deep immersion and experiential participation in the lives of these peoples, not as outsiders who drop by to visit, but as insiders who are bound in solidarity with them. According to the First FABC Plenary Assembly, this “dialogue of life ... demands working, not for them merely (in a paternalistic sense), but with them, to learn from them (for we have much to learn from them) their real needs and aspirations” (FABC I, art 20)²⁹.

Such a commitment and service to life may be understood from a twofold perspective, viz., an explicit epistemological perspective which allows one to better understand the Asian peoples and their life experiences, but more importantly, an underlying theological perspective which recognizes the presence and workings of God in the Asian peoples’ Sitz-im-Leben. This underlying theological perspective is deeply rooted in the mystery of the Incarnation and the Paschal mystery, both of which undergird God’s solidarity with humans, especially the poor and marginalized, as well as God’s participation in the experiences of pain

and suffering in their daily lives. Hence, one could say that the experiences of daily living is the privileged locus where God is to be found and encountered, because God has made a deliberate choice to be identified with the Asian peoples, especially the poor and marginalized. Accordingly, the FABC is of the view that Jesus Christ is to be encountered in Asia within the specificity of the Asian peoples' life realities, and especially in the midst of the poor and marginalized:

The Christian community, it seems to us, must live in companionship, as true partners with all Asians as they pray, work, struggle and suffer for a better human life, and as they search for the meaning of human life and progress. Because the human person created in Christ, redeemed by Christ and united by Christ to himself is the way for the Church, the Church must walk along with him/her in human solidarity (FABC V, art. 6.2).\(^8\)

The Lord of History is at work in that world of poverty. Seeing the Lord in the poor, making sense out of his action among them, discerning the direction of his action among them — this we felt deeply within us was the more specific challenge we have to face (BISA VII, art. 20).\(^9\)

Hence, in emphasizing the need for commitment and service to life, the FABC perceives the myriad of rich and deeply profound experiences of life as resources for doing theology in the Asian milieu. In particular, these life experiences are not merely starting points for theologizing, but also the underlying foundation, framework and continuous referent for doing theology in the Asian milieu. It goes without saying that these life experiences are not abstract, metaphysical, intellectual or theoretical constructs, but rather, they are made manifest in social, political, economic and religious dimensions. The effects and implications of these dimensions will be critically explored in the second and third stages of the FABC's contextual theological methodology, viz., dialectical social analysis and critical introspective contemplation.

**Second Stage: Dialectical Social Analysis**

The second stage of the FABC's contextual theological methodology, viz., the stage of dialectical social analysis, seeks to identify and analyze the structural causes of, as well as focus attention on the impact of socio-economic, political and cultural forces on the suffering and marginalization of the Asian peoples. This analysis is carried out through reading the "signs of the times\(^{10}\)" and confronting "the dark realities in the heart of Asia\(^{11}\), a continent which is perceived in dialectical terms as "an arena of conflict and division, the world's exploited market place, the continent of suffering humanity," while at the same time, a "cradle of culture, birthplace of great religions, a continent awakening to new and gigantic responsibilities\(^{12}\). It also aims to overcome the destructive forces in the life experiences of the Asian peoples and free them from structures which have created new forms of bondage, so as to foster human dignity, freedom and a more fully human life, as well as to create a more genuine communion among the multireligious, multiethnic, multilingual and multi-cultural Asian communities.\(^{13}\) Therefore, it is not surprising that dialectical social analysis is a ubiquitous aspect of the FABC's contextual theological methodology, such that the Indian theologian, Felix Wilfred is able to comment thus: "Every document of the Federation reflects a vibrant sensitivity of the bishops to the realities of Asia with which they are confronted. There is practically no document which does not start from, or at least include, some analysis of the Asian context\(^{14}\).

At the same time, it must be pointed out that every effort at dialectical social analysis by the FABC is often prefaced by its admission of a double marginalization of both the Christian Gospel and the Asian local churches. First, the Christian Gospel with its message of the Kingdom of God has had a marginal impact in the lives of many Asians. Christians account for only approximately 2% of the total population of Asia, with a disproportionate number of Christians living in the Philippines.\(^{15}\)

---

\(^8\) As the FABC Office of Theological Concerns explains: "Asia is an immense and extremely complex continent which at present is characterized by rapid social change, by overwhelming poverty in most countries and economic growth in others, as well as by cultural and religious pluralism. The whole process of modernization is experienced in many Asian societies as a crisis for the individual in the search for his own identity and for society at large. The "signs of the times" point to the necessity for change. (Spirit at Work in Asia Today, art. 2.2.1, in FABC Papers No. 81).

\(^9\) FABC IV, art. 3.0.1, in FAPA Vol. I, 179.

\(^10\) FABC IV, art. 1.1, in FAPA Vol. I, 178.


\(^12\) FELIX WILFRED, "Images of Jesus Christ in the Asian Pastoral Context: An Interpretation of Documents from the Federation of Asian Bishops' Conferences", Concilium (1993/2) 51.

\(^13\) As the FABC Office of Theological Concerns puts it bluntly: "The Christian communities in this part of the world, taken together, do not make up more than 2% of the entire population of Asia. Except for the Philippines, of whose 60 million population 83% are Catholic and 89% Christian, Christians are minorities in every Asian nation. The
ond, the FABC has also acknowledged that the local church in many parts of Asia has been perceived as a corpus alienum planted by colonial-era missionaries in the local soil. Such an acknowledgment is also the acknowledgment of the existence of pervasive Eurocentric ecclesial structures which may affect significantly the efforts to carry out a meaningful dialectical social analysis.

It is within such an acknowledgement of a double marginalization of the Christian Gospel and the Asian local churches that the dialectical social analysis of the FABC has identified the following socio-economic and cultural-political challenges facing the Asian Sitz-im-Leben: poverty and the inequitable distribution of wealth; economic dependency; unjust trade and aid conditions; unfair economic policies which discriminate against labour; landlessness and the destruction of the rural economy and small family farms; unemployment and underemployment;...

---

Church in Asia is truly a little flock, paullus grex, an infinitesimal minority in an ocean of people who profess other religious faiths or belong to other religious traditions”. Theses on the Local Church, FABC Papers No. 60 (Hong Kong, FABC, 1991) 4.

4 This point has been expressed by the FABC in very blunt terms as follows: “Prejudices are very much alive in Asia. As a social institution the Church is perceived as a foreign guest in this environment; its origins while other-world religions are not. The lingering colonial image survives in its traditional ecclesiastical structures and economic dependence on the West. This gives ground for suspicion. The Church is even sometimes seen as an obstacle or threat to national integration and to religious and cultural identity... The Church remains foreign in its lifestyle, in its institutional structures, in its worship, in its western-trained leadership and in its theology” (Theological Consultation, art. 13, in FABC Vol. I, 1991). 5 In this respect, the 1991 FABC Theological Consultation points out that “the Church remains foreign in its lifestyle, in its institutional structures, in its worship, in its western-trained leadership and in its theology. Christian rituals often remain formal, neither spontaneous nor particularly Asian. There is a gap between leaders and ordinary believers in the Church, a fortiori with members of other faiths. The Church has created a powerful priestly caste with little lay participation. Seminary formation often alienates the seminarian from the people. Biblical, systematic and historical theology as taught are often unpastoral and unAsian” (Theological Consultation, art. 13, in FABC Vol. I, 1991).

---
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poor working conditions and inadequate wages; dehumanizing plight of slum dwellers; landless peasants and migrant workers; unjust exploitation of workers; child labour; marginalization of indigenous people; unjust exploitation and discrimination of women; exploitative tourism (including sex-tourism); prostitution; disintegration of traditional Asian societal and family structures; drug abuse; unbridled consumerism; ethnic minority discrimination; caste discrimina-
tion; religious strife; racial strife and communalism; human rights violations; war, increased militarization and nuclear proliferation; terrorism; violence arising from religious fundamentalism and fanaticism; the plight of refugees; unrestrained exploitation of natural resources; pollution, environmental and ecological damage; as well as social and cultural dislocation.

In turn, these challenges have been attributed to structural factors such as: secularization; modernization; urbanization; illiteracy; untrammeled market forces of globalization; non-suitability of Western laissez faire capitalism; economic exploitation by huge business con-

glomerates and transnational corporations; export oriented industries which neglect the needs of the poor; corruption which is engendered by a "get-rich-quickly" mentality; oppressive socio-political structures such as feudalism; colonialism; neocolonialism; communism; and dictatorial and totalitarian governments.

Third Stage: Critical Introspective Contemplation

Although dialectical social analysis is extremely useful in identifying the social, political, economic and technological factors which have enormous impact on the daily lives of the Asian peoples, nevertheless the FABC recognizes that dialectical social analysis per se runs the risk of a distortive emphasis on the materialist aspects of salvation if it does not lead to the third stage of its contextual theological methodology, viz., critical introspective contemplation. Critical introspective contemplation begins with an acknowledgment that Asia is "a continent of ancient and diverse cultures, religions, histories and traditions, a region like Joseph's coat of many colors" (ABM, art. 7). It also recognizes that the great religious traditions of Asia are:

significant and positive elements in the economy of God's design and salvation. In them we recognize and respect profound spiritual and ethical meanings and values. Over many centuries they have been the treasury of the religious experience of our ancestors, from which our contemporaries do not cease to draw light and strength. They have been (and continue to be) the authentic expression of the noblest longings of their hearts, and the home of their contemplation and prayer (FABC, art. 14).

---

BIRA IV, art. 2.4 (in FAPA Vol. II, 150); BIRA V/3, art. 2.4 (in FAPA Vol. III, 156); Theological Consultation, art. 7 (in FAPA Vol. I, 13); Theological Consultation, art. 7 (in FAPA Vol. I, 13); and Asian Christian Perspectives on Harmony, art. 1.2 (in FAPA Vol. II, 237).
BIRA V/3, art. 2.2 (in FAPA Vol. II, 156); Theological Consultation, art. 7 (in FAPA Vol. I, 13); and Spirit at work in Asia Today, art. 2.3 (in FAPA Papers 81).
FABC V, art. 2.2.3 & 6.4 (in FAPA Vol. I, 277, 284); and BIRA IV, art. 2.1 (in FAPA Vol. II, 149-50).
FABC V, art. 2.2.1 (in FAPA Vol. I, 276); FABC VI, art. 7 (in FAPA Vol. II, 3-4); and BISA VI, art. 5 (in FAPA Vol. I, 224); BISA V, art. 2.1 (in FAPA Vol. II, 149-50); and Asian Christian Perspectives on Harmony, art. 1.4 (in FAPA Vol. II, 239).
FABC VI, art. 7 (in FAPA Vol. II, 3-4).
FABC VI, art. 7 (in FAPA Vol. II, 3-4); and BISA VI, art. 5 (in FAPA Vol. I, 224); Spirit at work in Asia Today, art. 2.3 (in FAPA Papers 81).
FABC VI, art. 6.4 (in FAPA Vol. I, 284); FABC VI, arts. 7 & 15.5 (in FAPA Vol. II, 3-4, 11); BISA V, art. 9 (in FAPA Vol. I, 218); and BIRA V/2, art. 2.2 (in FAPA Vol. III, 150).
BIRA V/2, art. 2.1 (in FAPA Vol. II, 149); BIRA V/3, art. 2(a) (in FAPA Vol. II, 156); and Asian Christian Perspectives on Harmony, art. 1.3 (in FAPA Vol. II, 238).
FABC VI, art. 2.2.1 (in FAPA Vol. I, 276); FABC VI, arts. 7 & 15.4 (in FAPA Vol. II, 3-4, 11); BISA V, art. 2.1 (in FAPA Vol. II, 149-50); BISA V/3, art. 2(a) (in FAPA Vol. II, 156); Theological Consultation, art. 4 (in FAPA Vol. I, 136); Being Church in Asia, art. 19 (in FAPA Vol. II, 238); Asian Christian Perspectives on Harmony, art. 1.3 (in FAPA Vol. II, 238); and Spirit at Work in Asia Today, art. 2.2.5 (in FABC Paper No. 81).
FABC VI, art. 7 (in FAPA Vol. II, 3-4); BISA VI, art. 5 (in FAPA Vol. I, 224); Being Church in Asia, art. 9 (in FAPA Vol. II, 218-9); Asian Christian Perspectives on Harmony, art. 1.1.1 (in FAPA Vol. II, 234); and Spirit at Work in Asia Today, art. 2.2.5 and 2.3.1 (FABC Paper No. 81).
FABC IV, art. 3.8.2 (in FAPA Vol. I, 189); BISA IV, art. 6 (in FAPA Vol. I, 212); and Spirit at Work in Asia Today, art. 2.2.5 (FABC Paper No. 81).
FABC IV, art. 3.7.3 (in FAPA Vol. I, 187-88); BISA VI, art. 5 (in FAPA Vol. I, 224); Being Church in Asia, art. 13 (in FAPA Vol. II, 219); and Spirit at Work in Asia Today, art. 2.2.5 (FABC Paper No. 81).
FABC IV, art. 4 (in FAPA Vol. I, 212); BISA VI, art. 5 (in FAPA Vol. I, 224); Theological Consultation, art. 4 (in FAPA Vol. I, 136); and Spirit at work in Asia Today, art. 2.3.1 (FABC Papers 81).
FABC VI, art. 5 (in FAPA Vol. I, 224); BISA V, art. 2.2.1 (in FAPA Vol. I, 277); and Asian Christian Perspectives on Harmony, art. 1.1.1 (in FAPA Vol. II, 234).
FABC IV, art. 4 (in FAPA Vol. I, 212).
FABC IV, art. 4 (in FAPA Vol. I, 212).
FABC IV, art. 4 (in FAPA Vol. I, 212).
FABC IV, art. 4 (in FAPA Vol. I, 212).
FABC IV, art. 4 (in FAPA Vol. I, 212).
FABC IV, art. 9 (in FAPA Vol. I, 218); Theological Consultation, art. 5 (in FAPA Vol. I, 136); and Spirit at Work in Asia Today, art. 2.2.4 (FABC Paper No. 81).
FABC IV, art. 4. See also art. 7 of FABC II (in FAPA Vol. I, 30).
In other words, these religious traditions are still very much alive and influential because they are able to nourish the present spiritual needs of millions of Asians. More importantly, these religious traditions are also very much intertwined within the socio-political and cultural lives of these Asian peoples, leading one to the inescapable conclusion that the religiosity of the Asian peoples is not primarily individualistic in orientation, but rather, it has significant social, public and ecological consequences. As such, critical introspective contemplation is also a quest for a holistic understanding of the interaction between these religious traditions with the cultural and socio-economic dimensions of Asian societies:

Culture, religion and society are interdependent, interacting and mutually transforming. In our Asian continent, which is the cradle for all the great world religions, culture and religion are integrated. Religion is the dynamic element of culture. Together they form the religio-cultural system which interacts with the socio-economic-political system of society, permeating every sphere of human life. Asian poverty is not a purely economic concept, neither is its religiosity merely cultural. Poverty and religiosity are intertwined in the Asian ethos, in such a way that at a certain point they seem to coalesce in order to procreate the specific character of Asia (BISA VII, art. 6).\(^6\)

In this regard, one could also view critical introspective contemplation as complementing dialectical social analysis in a relational model of mutual complementarity, balancing: (i) dialectical social analysis' active struggle against economic exploitation and oppression with critical introspective contemplation's innate spirit of compassion and interiority; (ii) dialectical social analysis' goal of satisfying unmet human needs with critical introspective contemplation's asceticism and renunciation; and (iii) the formal, logical and structural aspects of dialectical social analysis with the intuitive and mystical aspects of prayer and contemplation.\(^7\)

In a nutshell, critical introspective contemplation seeks to present a holistic vision of life in Asia which integrates the liberative action of dialectical social analysis within the wider religious and spiritualty of the Asian peoples, because this mosaic of rich and deeply profound relig-

---


\(^7\) Discussions, as well as direct and indirect allusions to such mutual complementarity are interwoven throughout the Final Statements of FABC II (in FAPA Vol. I, 29-39), FABC V (in FAPA Vol. I, 274-89) and FABC VI (in FAPA Vol. II, 1-12).

religious sentiments play a very significant role in shaping the life experiences of the Asian peoples. This holistic perspective of critical introspective contemplation reveals the fact that the contextual theological methodology of the FABC is more than just a preferential option for the poor and marginalized: it is also a preferential option for Asian cultures, spirituality and religiosity, in recognition of the fact that the Asian milieu is defined by both its varying degrees of economic poverty as well as its multifaceted religiosity. It seeks to draw upon the spirit of compassion and interiority, asceticism and renunciation, as well as intuition and mysticism of the religiosity of the Asian peoples to undergird the pursuit of integral human liberation. Unless liberative action emerges out of, and is nourished by introspective contemplation, it cannot be truly liberative and transform the daily lives of the Asian peoples.

**Fourth Stage: Triple Dialogue With Asian Cultures, Religions and the Poor**

The FABC has consistently argued that a commitment and service to life, together with dialectical social analysis and critical introspective contemplation demands a suitable theological response which enables local communities to affirm and build upon the "life-serving" realities in the Asian milieu while at the same time transforming the "death-dealing" realities in the Asian milieu. In this respect, the FABC has chosen the quintessential Asian trait of dialogue as a "manifestation of lived Christianity"\(^8\) to form the basis of such theological response. Dialogue is defined as "a process of talking and listening, of giving and receiving, of searching and studying, for the deepening and enriching of one another's faith and understanding" (BIRA I, art. 11)\(^9\). The stated objectives of dialogue are "to promote mutual understanding and harmony" (BIRA I, art. 15)\(^10\), "to promote whatever leads to unity, love, truth, justice and peace" (BIRA I, art. 16)\(^11\) and "sharing the riches of our spiritual heritages" (BIRA I, art. 11)\(^12\). In a similar vein, the Sri Lankan theologian, Aloysius Pieris strongly argues that dialectical social analysis is inadequate in and of itself, because by focusing exclusively on the political and economic dimensions of poverty, it fails to appreciate the spiritual and religious dimensions of voluntary poverty and renunciation. See ALOYSIUS PIERIS, *An Asian Theology of Liberation* (Maryknoll: Orbis, 1988) 80-81.


\(^9\) FAPA Vol. I, 111.

\(^10\) FAPA Vol. I, 111.

\(^11\) FAPA Vol. I, 111.

\(^12\) FAPA Vol. I, 111.
I, art. 17)⁶⁰. This deliberate choice reveals its sensitivity to the non-confrontational Asian mindset and worldview, where "truth does not impose itself, but rather attracts everyone and everything to itself by its beauty, splendour and fascination"⁶¹.

As early as 1970, the Asian Bishops' Meeting passed a resolution to engage in "an open, sincere, and continuing dialogue with our brothers of other great religions of Asia, that we may learn from one another how to enrich ourselves spiritually and how to work more effectively together on our common task of total human development" (ABM, resolution 12)⁶². Four years later, the First FABC Plenary Assembly developed this nascent perspective on dialogue into a call for a *triple dialogue* which comprises mutually respectful and critical encounters and interactions between the local Asian churches and the rich, diverse and pluralistic myriad of Asian cultures, Asian religious traditions and the immense multitude of the poor (FABC I, arts. 12 & 19)⁶³. This call for a triple dialogue has been reemphasized emphatically by both the Fifth FABC Plenary Assembly⁶⁴ and the Sixth FABC Plenary Assembly⁶⁵. Such a dialogue is to be carried out as *equal partners* with the Asian cultures, religions and the poor:

We enter as equal partners into the dialogue in a mutuality of sharing and enrichment contributing to mutual growth. It excludes any sense of competition. Rather, it centers on each other’s values. All the partners in dialogue participate in their own culture, history and time. Hence, dialogue brings the partners more deeply into their own cultures and bears the characteristics of inculturation (BIRA I, art. 12)⁶⁶.

The cornerstone of the triple dialogue is the understanding that people of other cultures and faiths in Asia were not to be regarded as "objects of Christian mission" but rather as "partners in the Asian community, where there must be mutual witness"⁶⁷. Such an understanding of dialogue expresses succinctly the FABC’s profound understanding of dialogue as a "dialogue of life" which "involves a genuine experience and understanding" and which "demands working, not for them merely (in a paternalistic sense), but with them, to learn from them (for we have much to learn from them!) their real needs and aspirations, as they are enabled to identify and articulate these, and to strive for their fulfillment." (FABC I, art. 20)⁶⁸. In this context, dialogue is perceived by the FABC as leading to "receptive pluralism, that is, the many ways of responding to the promptings of the Holy Spirit must be continually in conversation with one another. A relationship of dynamic tension may open the way for mutual information, inspiration, support and correction" (BIRA IV/3, art. 16)⁶⁹.

The primary implication for the FABC’s contextual methodology is clear: through the triple dialogue with Asian cultures, religions and the poor and marginalized, not only the Asian socio-religious realities may be enriched by the FABC’s theologizing efforts, in turn the FABC’s theologizing efforts too may be enriched by the Asian socio-religious realities. Otherwise, the FABC’s theologizing efforts run the risk of ending up as a one-way monologue which lays it open to the charge of instrumentalization, i.e., appropriating the soteriological elements in Asian socio-religious realities for use as *ancillae theologiae* without respecting their integrity within their Asian socio-religious matrix. At the same time, the Final Reflections of BISA I cautions that this triple dialogue ought to "be truly Asian, employing the procedures for arriving at consensus for action which our people have themselves elaborated, rather than alien techniques which may work well enough in other cultures, but not in our own" (BISA I, art. 9)⁷⁰.

In addition, the fourth stage of triple dialogue also anchors the FABC’s contextual theological methodology securely to its ecclesial moorings, viz., dialogue is "intrinsic to the very life of the church" (BIRA

---

⁶⁰ FAPA Vol. I, 111.
⁶⁴ The Fifth FABC Plenary Assembly equated the triple dialogue with the Christian mission imperative: "mission includes: being with the people, responding to their needs, with sensitivity to the presence of God in cultures and other religious traditions, and witnessing to the values of God’s Kingdom through presence, solidarity, sharing and word. *Mission will mean a dialogue with Asian’s poor, with its local cultures, and with other religious traditions*" (FABC V, art. 5.1.2, emphasis added, in FAPA Vol. I, 280).
⁶⁵ The Sixth FABC Plenary Assembly reiterated that "the Church in Asia must foster a three-fold dialogue: with the many different faiths of Asia, with the cultures of Asia, and with the poor multitudes of Asia". See FABC VI, art. 3 (in FAPA Vol. II, 2).
⁶⁶ FAPA Vol. I, 111.
of a people, its hitherto undiscovered virtualities will surface; riches and meanings as yet hidden will emerge into the light. That is why it is so important to reinterpret the Gospel through the cultural resources of every people; this reinterpretation truly enriches the Christian tradition. By living within their particular context according to the “sense of faith aroused and sustained by the Spirit of truth” (LG 12), the faithful will learn to express their faith not only in fidelity to the Apostolic Tradition, but also in response to their cultural situations. This response is the responsibility of the whole community which discerns God’s Word and Spirit in its culture and history.

This dialogical encounter “facilitates the incarnation of the Good News in the various cultures creating new ways of life, action, worship and reflection, so as to help the growth of the local Churches and to realize the catholicity and fulness of the mystery of Christ” (BIRA III, art. 5). 

Dialogue with Asian Religions

The importance of engaging in a dialogue with the Asian religions was first recognized by the 1970 Asian Bishops’ Meeting: “We pledge ourselves to an open, sincere, and continuing dialogue with our brothers of other great religions of Asia, that we may learn from one another how to enrich ourselves spiritually and how to work more effectively together on our common task of total human development” (ABM, resolution 12). It has been suggested that interreligious dialogue is not merely an activity of Asian Christians, but rather “it enters into the very definition of what it is to be a Christian in Asia. To be Christian is not an abstraction, but a contextual reality.” Hence, the First FABC Plenary Assembly has emphasized that it is only through dialogue that the Asian local churches are able to give due reverence and honour to these Asian religions and acknowledge that God has drawn these religions to Godself (FABC I, art. 15). In giving a privileged place to the religious traditions of the Asian peoples, the First FABC Plenary Assembly pointed out that:

---

106 FAPA Vol. I, 111.
107 FAPA Vol. I, 111.
133 FAPA Vol. I, 333.
140 In this regard, the Fifth FABC Plenary Assembly states that “It is the local churches and communities which can discern and work (in dialogue with each other and with other persons of goodwill) the way the Gospel is best proclaimed, the Church set up, the values of God’s Kingdom realized in their own place and time. In fact, it is by responding to and serving the needs of the peoples of Asia that the different Christian communities become truly local Churches” (FABC V, art. 3.3.1, in FAPA Vol. I, 281).
141 For comprehensive discussions on how the FABC understands and develops the concept of culture in its official documents, see LADZIELAV NEMIER, “Inculturation in the FABC Documents”, East Asian Pastoral Review 31(1994) 87-88 and Archbishop THOMAS MENAPARAMBIL, “The Challenge of Cultures”, in Evangelization Among the Indigenous Peoples of Asia, FABC Papers No. 80. (Hong Kong: FABC, 1997).
142 Theses on the Local Church, 20-21.
143 Theses on the Local Church, 28.
144 FAPA Vol. I, 120.
In this dialogue we accept them [=the great religious traditions] as significant and positive elements in the economy of God’s design of salvation. In them we recognize and respect profound spiritual and ethical meanings and values. Over many centuries they have been the treasuries of the religious experience of our ancestors, from which our contemporaries do not cease to draw light and strength. They have been (and continue to be) the authentic expression of the noblest longings of their hearts, and the home of their contemplation and prayer. They have helped to give shape to the histories and cultures of our nations (FABC I, art. 14)\textsuperscript{117}.

Elsewhere, the FABC has affirmed that “it is an inescapable truth that God’s Spirit is at work in all religious traditions” (BIRA IV/12, art. 7)\textsuperscript{118} because “it has been recognized since the time of the apostolic Church, and stated clearly again by the Second Vatican Council, that the Spirit of Christ is active outside the bounds of the visible Church.” (BIRA II art. 12)\textsuperscript{119}. Hence, interreligious dialogue “is based on the firm belief that the Holy Spirit is operative in other religions as well” (BIRA IV/2, art. 8.5)\textsuperscript{120}, because the religious traditions of Asia “are expressions of the presence of God’s Word and of the universal action of His Spirit in them” (Theological Consultation, art. 43)\textsuperscript{121}. In particular, the “great religions of Asia with their respective creeds, cults and codes reveal to us diverse ways of responding to God whose Spirit is active in all peoples and cultures” (BIRA IV/7, art. 12)\textsuperscript{122}. For the FABC, it is “the same Spirit, who has been active in the incarnation, life, death and resurrection of Jesus and in the Church, who was active among all peoples before the Incarnation and is active among the nations, religions and peoples of Asia today” (BIRA IV/3, art. 6)\textsuperscript{123}.

Hence, “interreligious dialogue flows from the nature of the Church, a community in pilgrimage journeying with peoples of other faiths towards the Kingdom that is to come” (BIRA IV/4, art. 2)\textsuperscript{124}. In particular, “religious dialogue is not just a substitute for or a mere preliminary to the proclamation of Christ, but should be the ideal form of evangelization, where in humility and mutual support we seek together with our brothers and sisters that fullness of Christ which is God’s plan for the whole of creation, in its entirety and its great and wonderful diversity” (BIMA I, art. 10)\textsuperscript{125}. In this respect, the 1995 FABC Hindu-Christian Dialogue explained:

Beyond the extremes of inclusivism and exclusivism, pluralism is accepted in resonance with the constitutive plurality of reality. Religions, as they are manifested in history, are complementary perceptions of the ineffable divine mystery, the God-beyond-God. All religions are visions of the divine mystery. No particular religion can raise the claim of being the norm for all others. We religious believers are co-pilgrims, who share intimate spiritual experiences and reflections with one another with concern and compassion, with genuine openness to truth and the freedom of spiritual seekers (sadhakas). In this process we become increasingly sensitive to human suffering and collaborate in promoting justice, peace and ecological wholeness (BIRA V/3, art. 6)\textsuperscript{126}.

Dialogue with the Poor

The First FABC Plenary Assembly explained that the local churches have to participate in a “dialogue of life” with the poor which involves a genuine experience and understanding of the poverty, deprivation and oppression of many of the Asian peoples. (FABC I, art. 20)\textsuperscript{127}. Here, the term “poor” is understood in a dialectical sense:

Poor, not in human values, qualities, nor in human potential. But poor, in that they are deprived of access to material goods and resources which they need to create a truly human life for themselves. Deprived, because they live under oppression, that is, under social, economic and political structures which have injustice built into them (FABC I, art. 19)\textsuperscript{128}.

This gives rise to a genuine commitment and effort to bring about social justice in the Asian societies (FABC I, art. 21). In so doing, the FABC affirmed the Statement of the 1971 Special Synod of Bishops on Justice in the World\textsuperscript{129} and pointed out that “evangelization and the pro-

\textsuperscript{117} FAPA Vol. I, 14.
\textsuperscript{118} FAPA Vol. I, 326.
\textsuperscript{119} FAPA Vol. I, 115.
\textsuperscript{120} FAPA Vol. I, 253.
\textsuperscript{121} FAPA Vol. I, 344.
\textsuperscript{122} FAPA Vol. I, 310.
\textsuperscript{123} FAPA Vol. I, 259.
\textsuperscript{124} FAPA Vol. I, 300.
\textsuperscript{125} FAPA Vol. I, 94.
\textsuperscript{126} FAPA Vol. I, 157-8.
\textsuperscript{127} FAPA Vol. I, 15.
\textsuperscript{128} FAPA Vol. I, 15.
\textsuperscript{129} Actions in behalf of justice and participation in the transformation of the world fully appear to us as a constitutive dimension of preaching of the Gospel... for we believe that this, in our time, is part and parcel of “preaching the Good News to the poor” (FABC I, art. 22. In FAPA Vol. I, 15-16).
motion of true human development and liberation, are not only not opposed, but make up today the integral preaching of the Gospel, especially in Asia" (FABC I, art. 23)\textsuperscript{96}. Hence, the poor is seen as "ultimately the privileged community and agents of salvation (as has always been the case in the history of salvation)" (International Congress on Mission, art. 4)\textsuperscript{97}. The Sixth FABC Plenary Assembly explains succinctly:

Like Jesus, we ‘have to pitch our tents’ in the midst of all humanity building a better world, but especially among the suffering and the poor, the marginalized and the downtrodden of Asia. In profound ‘solidarity with suffering humanity’ and led by the Spirit of life, we need to immerse ourselves in Asia’s cultures of poverty and deprivation, from whose depths the aspirations for love and life are most poignant and compelling. Serving life demands communion with every woman and man seeking and struggling for life, in the way of Jesus’ solidarity with humanity (FABC VI, art. 14.2).

\textbf{Fifth Stage: Quest for Harmony in the Task of Theologizing in the Asian Milieu}

The FABC takes very seriously the multireligious, multiethnic, multilingual and pluricultural character of the Asian milieu, as well as the proximity of non-Christians to Christians, recognizing that the task of doing theology has to take place within a wider context in dialogue with these non-Christians in a spirit of goodwill, and not merely limited to a purely confessional undertaking which is targeted exclusively towards Christians. Within the framework of the FABC’s contextual theological methodology, the fourth stage of triple dialogue with the Asian cultures, religions and the poor and marginalized presupposes the need for a common underlying foundation for communication which enables both the Asian local churches and their non-Christian neighbours to communicate with, and understand each other, without which each party would end up talking past the other, rather than talking to each other. According to the FABC, the notion of harmony\textsuperscript{128} is well-placed to act as

this common underlying foundation for communication amidst much diversity and pluralism in the Asian Sitz-im-Leben, because it is authentically Christian, yet quintessentially Asian, viz., harmony appears “to constitute in a certain sense the intellectual and affective, religious and artistic, personal and societal soul of both persons and institutions in Asia” (BIRA IV/I, art. 13)\textsuperscript{129}. Methodologically, this leads to the fifth stage of the FABC’s contextual methodology, viz., the quest for harmony in the task of doing theology in the Asian milieu.

The quest for harmony in the task of theologizing in the Asian milieu is rooted in the FABC’s firm belief that “there is an Asian approach to reality, a world-view, wherein the whole is the sum-total of the web of relationships and interaction of the various parts with each other, in a word, harmony, a word which resonates with all Asian cultures” (Asian Christian Perspectives on Harmony, art. 6)\textsuperscript{130}. Within the many Asian worldviews, harmony is perceived as “the spiritual pursuit of the totality of reality in its infinite diversity and radical unity” which “evolves by respecting the otherness of the other and by acknowledging its significance in relation to the totality”\textsuperscript{131}. Harmony is also predicated upon the commonly-held view that since “the ultimate ground of being is unity-in-plurality, the divergent forms of reality [in the Asian milieu] are perceived in the convergent rhythm that harmonizes them”\textsuperscript{132}.

More significantly, such a quest for harmony in the task of theologizing in the Asian milieu can also be understood as the FABC’s theological contribution to the “the cause of unity of the peoples of Asia marked by such a diversity of beliefs, cultures and socio-political structures. In an Asia marked by diversity and torn by conflicts, the Church must in a special way be a sacrament — a visible sign and instrument of unity and harmony” (FABC V, art. 4.2)\textsuperscript{133}. This is reinforced by the FABC’s acknowledgment that “the way of harmony does not unfold through aggressive indoctrination, which distorts reality” (BIRA V/3, art. 7)\textsuperscript{134}. Rather, the FABC speaks of the experience of harmony within an overarching and holistic vision of unity in the Asian milieu:

\textsuperscript{96} FAPA Vol. I, 16.
\textsuperscript{97} FAPA Vol. I, 144.
\textsuperscript{98} BIRA V/2 presents the following useful understanding of harmony which speaks to both Christians and non-Christians alike: "Harmony can be perceived and realized at various levels: Harmony in oneself as personal integration of body and mind; harmony with the Cosmos, not only living in harmony with nature, but sharing nature’s gift equitably to promote harmony among peoples; harmony with others, accepting, respecting and appreciating each one’s cultural, ethnic and religious identity, building community in freedom and fellowship; harmony in our collaborations as a means of promoting harmony for all in the world; and finally harmony with God or the Absolute or whatever we perceive as the ultimate goal of life" (FAPA Vol. II, 151).
\textsuperscript{104} FAPA Vol. I, 249.
\textsuperscript{131} FAPA Vol. II, 296.
\textsuperscript{132} BIRA V/4, art. 6 (in FAPA Vol. II, 157).
\textsuperscript{133} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{134} FAPA Vol. I, 282.
\textsuperscript{135} FAPA Vol. II, 158.
In the rich diversity of ancient Asian cultures and faiths is a vision of unity in diversity, a communion of life among diverse peoples... Ours is a vision of holistic life, life that is achieved and entrusted to every person and every community of persons, regardless of gender, creed or culture, class or color... At the heart of our vision of life is the Asian reenvisaged sense of mystery and of the sacred, a spirituality that regards life as sacred and discovers the Transcendent and its gifts even in mundane affairs, in tragedy or victory, in brokenness or wholeness. This deep interiority draws people to experience harmony and inner peace and infuses ethics into all of creation (FABC VI, art. 10, emphasis added)\(^{16}\).

Such an understanding of harmony is also rooted in the foundations of a cosmic harmony and unity which accepts the pluralism and diversity of the Asian religio-cultural traditions as a positive and rich expression of the mystery of the divine plan of creation:

When we look into our traditional cultures and heritages, we note that they are inspired by a vision of unity. The universe is perceived as an organic whole with the web of relations knitting together each and every part of it. The nature and the human are not viewed as antagonistic to each other, but as chords in a universal symphony. The whole reality is maintained in unity through a universal rhyme (Rta; Tao). This unity of reality is reflected in the human person in that his senses, consciousness and spirit are organically interlinked, one flowing into the other. When this unity and harmony are manifested in inter-human relationship of justice, order and righteousness, it is considered dharmic (dharma, dhamma). Sensitivity in human relationships, close ties of love and cooperation in families are highly valued in our cultures. Furthermore, traditionally, the various groups in Asian societies were held together harmoniously through forces of syncretism, spirit of tolerance, mysticism and through messianic movements (BIRA IV/II, art. 6)\(^{17}\).

In a nutshell, despite “religious, ethnic, linguistic and cultural diversity, one can perceive a unity of values and perfections” which is epitomized in the spirit of harmony: “Harmony, in the created universe, within the human family, and internalized in the individual person, has for centuries been an ideal to which peoples of the region have striven” (Harmony among Believers of Living Faiths, art. 5)\(^{18}\).

In discussing the Christian perspectives on harmony, the FABC points out that “Christianity teaches a threefold harmony; harmony with God, among humans, and with the whole universe. Union with a personal God is viewed as the source of all genuine harmony” (BIRA IV, art. 5)\(^{19}\). From the Christian perspective, harmony is also divinely inspired, because “God is the source and summit of all harmony. He is the foundation and the fulfillment of it” (Asian Christian Perspectives on Harmony, art. 5.1.1.4)\(^{20}\). In this respect, harmony as a theological notion also draws its inspiration and strength from the harmonious unity of the Trinity: “The marvelous mystery of unity and communion of the Trinity is a model as well as a powerful challenge in our efforts to create harmony in all areas of life” (BIRA IV/II, art. 7)\(^{21}\). The trinitarian dimension of harmony was further elaborated by the FABC Office of Theological Concerns in the following manner: “the harmony of the universe finds its origin in the one Creator God, and human harmony should flow from the communion of Father and Son in the Spirit, and ought to be continually nourished by the ‘circumcision’ (perichoresis) in divine life” (Asian Christian Perspectives on Harmony, art. 4.11.3)\(^{22}\). Such trinitarian and cosmic perspectives of harmony give rise to an understanding of harmony which “acknowledges the sacredness of nature and invites us to live in harmony with nature and to foster its growth” (BIRA IV/II, art. 33)\(^{23}\). This notion of harmony is inspired by a global and universal concern which is “geared ultimately to the well-being and peace of the universe and humankind” (BIRA IV/II, art. 21)\(^{24}\). Such an understanding of harmony also cries out for harmony in the created world among humans\(^{25}\), as well as between humans and their natural environment:

We envision a life with integrity and dignity, a life of compassion for the multitudes, especially for the poor and the needy. It is a life of solidarity with every form of life and sensitive care for all the earth. It is thus a life

---

\(^{16}\) FAPA Vol II, 5. This statement builds on the earlier statement by the Fourth FABC Plenary Assembly: “Asian religious cultures see human beings, society and the whole universe as intimately related and interdependent. Fragmentation and division contradict this vision” (FABC IV, art. 3.1.10, in FAPA Vol. I, 181).

\(^{17}\) FAPA Vol. II, 319.


\(^{19}\) FAPA Vol. II, 164.

\(^{20}\) FAPA Vol. II, 288.

\(^{21}\) FAPA Vol. I, 319.

\(^{22}\) FAPA Vol. II, 285.

\(^{23}\) FAPA Vol. I, 530.

\(^{24}\) FAPA Vol. I, 322.

\(^{25}\) FAPA Vol. II, 5.
that unites us Asians among ourselves and with the whole of creation into one community of life (FABC VI, art. 10).

The ecological question or the harmony and balance of the natural environment in relation to the life of man [sic] is a fundamental one. The destiny of humankind is inextricably bound up with the way they cultivate the earth and share its resources. Harmony and peace call for respect for the earth. She is the mother of whose dust we are made and to whose womb we shall all return. The usurpation of the fruit of the earth by some and deprivation of others of the same results in the rupture of harmony among peoples (BIRA IV/II, art. 13)34.

Believers of all faiths have an urgent responsibility to open themselves once again to the voice of nature and its mystery, to return to their primordial attachment to and respect for nature, to grow in a creation-centered spirituality. Believers in any place are called to come together in silence and love before creation, to accept the God-given order and harmony of nature, to counteract the forces of exploitation and ruin. Harmony with nature brings harmony of hearts and harmony in human relationships (BIRA IV/II, art. 34).35

Hence, the simplistic and naïve understanding of harmony as a mere absence of strife is consistently rejected by the FABC: “Harmony is not simply the absence of strife, described as ‘live and let live.’ The test of true harmony lies in the acceptance of diversity as richness” (BIRA IV/II, art. 15).36 Also, the FABC rejects any suggestion that the quest for harmony is reductionistic and rides roughshod over valid distinctions and disagreements:

[H]armony does not consist in leveling off differences in order to arrive at consensus at any cost. Avoiding controversies and bypassing disagreements do not pave the way to harmony. To say that all religions are the same is simplistic and does not promote honest dialogue, but to argue that religions do not meet at all would block any creative interaction” (BIRA V/3, art. 7).37

---

136 FAPA Vol. II, 158.

Criteria for Authenticity and Credibility

Without derogating from the merits of the FABC’s contextual theological methodology, it is useful at this juncture to examine critically the criteria for its authenticity and credibility. To begin with, the FABC has not explicitly sought to authenticate or justify its contextual theological methodology. Before one goes any further, it should be noted that there is always a temptation to use praxis which expresses a community’s own experiences and actions as the principal criterion for authenticating a community’s theologizing efforts. Nevertheless, the praxis of a community cannot be the principal criterion for the authenticity of its theological methodology, however liberative such praxis may be, because there is an absence of any external theological criteria for critically unearthing any potential failures, biases or shortcomings in a theological methodology which is structured upon a community’s praxis and which in turn relies upon this very same praxis as the principal criterion for its authenticity and credibility. In particular, without such external criteria for critical evaluation, theology runs the risk of being reduced to mere ideology. Therefore, there is a necessity for external criteria which are outside of a community’s praxis to judge the authenticity and credibility of such praxis.

In this regard, perhaps the credibility and authenticity of the FABC’s contextual theological methodology could be judged within the matrix of a creative tension between the vertical and the horizontal dimensions of theologizing, whereby tradition and experience encounter each other in a mutual dialogical relationship which in turn generates the fruits of exciting, new possibilities of theologizing. The vertical dimension of the FABC’s contextual theological methodology refers to its internal coherence with the deeply profound and rich heritage of 2000 years of diverse and pluralistic ways of doing theologies within the Catholic theological tradition which is handed down from one generation to another, while its horizontal dimension refers to its external coherence with a local community’s experiences and actions which are being carried out in specific local multireligious, multiethnic, multilingual and pluricultural Asian contexts. To the extent that the FABC’s contextual theological methodology is authentic and credible, it should be able to define, articulate and uphold in a holistic manner the hyphenated character of all Asian local churches: all Asian local churches are authentically Christian yet truly Asian in every sense of the word, viz., they are always Asian-Christian.

On the one hand, the internal coherence of the vertical dimension of the FABC’s contextual methodology is necessary for this methodology to
be specifically Christian and not another syncretistic approach among the many new kinds of New Age methods of theologizing in a post-modern world. On the other hand, the vertical dimension cannot be the only criterion of authenticity and credibility, because it does not necessarily mean that theological methodologies which worked successfully in the past would ipso facto work effectively in the contemporary multireligious, multietnic, multilingual and pluri-cultural Asian milieu. More importantly, making the vertical dimension of theologizing the sole criterion for the authenticity and credibility of the FABC’s contextual theological methodology results in a failure to include the communal dimension of theologizing: theologizing is not an abstract, intellectual and metaphysical venture, but is something done concretely within a community, by a community, and for the benefit of this community as it seeks to understand and develop its own self-identity as a hyphenated community: being fully and authentically Asian-Christian, catholic and local.

To the extent that the FABC’s contextual theological methodology is faithful to both the vertical and horizontal dimensions of theologizing, it is truly authentic Christian theologizing which responds credibly to the “signs of the times” — the social, political, economic, cultural and religious dimensions of Asian societies. Such credibility also demands that it respond to the challenges of diversity and pluralism in the Asian Sitz-im-Leben with its challenges of modernization, urbanization, globalization, post-modernism, secularization, economic and environmental exploitation, poverty, social injustice, political oppression, communalism, ethnic and caste discrimination, as well as other traumatic forms of social, cultural, economic and political anomic in the Asian social-cultural fabric. In the process of doing so, it also seeks to portray a vision of the Christian Gospel which is at the same time catholic (i.e., it is faithful to its founding stories) and local (i.e., these founding stories are being appropriated, contextualized and fused into the local Asia milieu). Fidelity to this tradition goes beyond the mere proclamation of the catholicity of the unique redemptive role of Jesus Christ, to call for a fidelity to make present Jesus’ radical vision of the Kingdom of God within the wider picture of the Asian human drama here and now19. Hence, by paying careful attention to both tradition and praxis, as well as historicity and temporality, thereby communicating the reality of the Christian Gospel which enters, again and yet again, into human facticity and events within the Asian Sitz-im-Leben in every age and time, the FABC’s contextual theological methodology can truly be said to be authentically Asian-Christian.

**Conclusion:**

**A New Way of Doing Theology in Asia: Theologizing at the Service of Life**

As the FABC is entering the third Christian millennium, it is in an enviable vantage position to be able to look back and take stock of the successes and shortcomings in its theological enterprise, as well as to look ahead to the exciting and challenging developments ahead. From the beginning of this essay, one notes how the contextual theological methodology of the FABC is a new way of doing theology in the midst of the panorama of religious and cultural diversity in Asia. For the FABC, there are no a priori overarching and universal truths in classicist theological methodologies waiting to be uprooted and transplanted from the European milieu to the Asian milieu on the naïve and simplistic presumption that they are normative, perennial, and therefore universally relevant and applicable to all peoples, places and ages. Accordingly, the task of theology is not to search for an apt vocabulary within the various Asian socio-religious and linguistic traditions to translate classical theological concepts and ideas. Rather, in eschewing the slavish and uncritical use of such classicist theological methodologies in favour of contextualization amidst the “vibrant” human life experiences in “the fecundity of Asia,” the FABC has forcefully chosen to engage in a quest for ways to theologize using the diverse and pluralistic resources of the multireligious, multietnic, multilingual and pluri-cultural Asian Sitz-im-Leben with powerful pastoral, ecclesiological and missiological implications.

While it is true that the FABC has to-date not produced any systematic treatment of its theological methodology, nevertheless it is clear that read collectively, its official documents reveal a profound and comprehensive theological methodology which represents a new way of doing theology in Asia that is contextual in nature and primarily pastoral and missiological in orientation. Ideally, any critical study of the FABC’s contextual theological methodology cannot ignore the close relationship between its theological methodology and the pastoral and missiological orientations of its theological content, because both method and content

---

are inextricably intertwined in the FABC's efforts at theologizing using Asian resources in response to concrete pastoral situations in the diverse and pluralistic Asian reality. In addition, although it is also true that the FABC has not formulated any specific Asian systematic theology in respect of traditional Christian doctrinal beliefs, one could perhaps say that there is much potential for its contextual theological methodology to undergird the future construction of an Asian systematic theology, and leave it at that for the moment. As a fitting conclusion to this essay, perhaps it would be helpful to summarize and recapitulate the principal elements of the FABC's five-fold contextual theological methodology in the following ten propositions:

(1) The FABC's theological methodology is best described as a fivefold contextual theological methodology which comprises: (i) commitment and service to life, (ii) dialectical social analysis, (iii) critical introspective contemplation; (iv) triple dialogue with Asian cultures, religions and the poor; and (v) quest for harmony in the task of theologizing in the Asian milieu.

(2) The first stage of the FABC's contextual theological methodology is not a systematic methodological exposition based upon abstract universal or metaphysical principles, but rather, a powerful commitment and service to the concrete life experiences of the Asian peoples amidst the diversity and pluralism of the multireligious, multiethnic, multilingual and pluri-cultural Asian Sitz-im-Leben, with an emphasis on the intense religiosity of the Asian peoples and their struggle to attain a better quality of life in the midst of crushing poverty and marginalization. In this regard, the FABC is highly critical of theologizing by professionals for and on behalf of the people, because it amounts to a condescending and disrespectful "trickle-down" theologizing.

(3) This commitment and service to life also identifies and emphasizes the contextual character of the FABC's contextual theological methodology: theologizing is intimately linked to real human persons with their history, cultures and religions, as well as their struggles and aspirations in a particular place and time. In this regard, the FABC's contextual theological methodology may also be characterized as embodied and incarnated theologizing which is rooted in both the Catholic theological tradition as well as the historical and temporal specificity of multiethnic, multilingual and pluri-cultural Asian Sitz-im-Leben. Taken together, the second and third stages of the FABC's contextual theological methodology may be viewed as a holistic analysis and reflection of the whole of the Asian reality, and not merely its socio-political and economic dimensions.

(4) On the one hand, even if the FABC has not cited the works of Latin American liberation theologians, it is nevertheless indebted to them for its methodological insights such as commitment to life, dialectical social analysis and experiential praxis as important aspects of its theological methodology. On the other hand, it has also significantly enriched Latin American liberation theology when it insisted, among other things, on the necessity for critical introspective contemplation in its contextual theological methodology, thereby acting as a corrective to the largely Marxist-inspired Latin American liberation theology.

(5) In doing so, the FABC has categorically affirmed that a balanced theological methodology which seeks the social transformation of the marginalized masses of the Asian peoples has to go beyond a mere one-sided emphasis on the tangible economic-political aspects of their life experiences to include the intangible contemplative religious, spiritual and cultural aspects as well. This is because all Asian cultural and religious traditions play an important and often pivotal role in social transformation. By combining both the tangible and intangible aspects of the Asian Sitz-im-Leben, this theological methodology grounds itself in the full spectrum of the rich and profound Asian life experiences and relates the socio-economic and religious dimensions of these life experiences in a relational model of mutual complementarity.

(6) The fourth stage of the FABC's contextual theological methodology highlights the fact that theologizing is an ecclesial activity which is carried out collaboratively by the whole community in a painstaking and patient triple dialogue with local cultures, religions and the poor. This triple dialogue invites both the members of each Asian local church and their neighbours to set aside their preconceived notions and other prejudices about each other, so as to be able to listen to, learn from and share with each other their hopes, dreams, challenges, strengths and weaknesses.

(7) This triple dialogue should be a bi-directional encounter of mutual critique, enrichment and conversion for both the Asian local churches as well as the multitudes of the Asian peoples within their rich diversity of cultures and religions, as well as their socio-economic struggles.

(8) The FABC recognizes that in the absence of such a dialogue, its theological methodology runs the risk of ending up merely as a unidirectional process of selective purification, fulfilment and instrumentalization of certain aspects of the Asian milieu so as to appropriate them as ancillae theologiae without respecting their integrity within their Asian socio-religious matrix. Worse still, the absence of a dialogi-
cal encounter of mutual critique, conversion and enrichment could result in the instrumentalization of the task of theologizing itself to serve the socio-cultural norms of the local Asian milieu such that its powerful and prophetic voice is lost.

(9) The quest for harmony in the task of theologizing in the Asian *Sitz-im-Leben* represents the fifth stage of the FABC’s contextual theological methodology. Here, the FABC asserts that the task of theologizing has to be at the service of the restoration of the Asian *Sitz-im-Leben* to its original, God-given cosmic harmony amidst the rich and profound panorama of diversity and pluralism. Hence, this fifth stage of the FABC’s contextual theological methodology is especially prophetic, powerful and poignant today, in view of the occurrence of religious strife in many parts of Asia, e.g., the Hindu-Christian conflict in parts of India and the Muslim-Christian conflict in parts of Indonesia.

(10) In short, the FABC’s contextual theological methodology begins with *praxis* (as exemplified by its commitment and service to life) and culminates in *praxis* (as demonstrated by its quest for triple dialogue and harmony) and commences all over again with *praxis* (i.e., its quest for triple dialogue and harmony leads back to its commitment and service to life) in a kind of a methodological spiral. Within this methodological spiral, it operates within a creative tension between the *vertical* and *horizontal* dimensions of theologizing, such that its *internal* coherence with the catholicity of its 2000-year heritage together with its *external* coherence with the Asian *Sitz-im-Leben* defines the hyphenated character of all Asian local churches: all Asian local churches are authentically Christian yet truly Asian: they are always *Asian-Christian*.
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RÉSUMÉ

L’essai examine et évalue la méthodologie théologique sousjacente à la Fédération des Conférences Episcopales Asiatiques (FABC), telle qu’elle se présente dans les documents officiels publiés à travers ses trente années d’existence.