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**ABSTRACT**

The odd thing was, no matter how much time he saved, he never had any to spare; in some mysterious way, it simply vanished. Imperceptibly at first, but then quite unmistakably, his days grew shorter and shorter. (*Momo* 65)

One of the most remarkable novels of the late twentieth century is *Momo*, by the German writer Michael Ende. Although apparently written for children, it contains profound insights into our modern attitude toward time. Is it a coincidence that Ende later became interested in Buddhism? He visited Japan several times; the first trip in 1977 included a discussion with a Zen priest; the second time in 1989 to marry his second wife, SATO Mariko. This essay will explore the deep resonances between Ende’s view of time in *Momo* and the Buddhist perspective on time, particularly as expressed by the Japanese Zen master Dogen (1200-1253). These resonances are of more than literary or historical interest: understanding what Ende and Dogen have to say about time gives us important insight into how we experience time today.

How do we experience time? What social scientists have termed a “time-compression” effect means that today we seem to have much less time to do the things we need or want to do. This contributes a manic quality to much of life: increased stress at work and in school, sleep deprivation, up to half the
U.S. work population suffering from burnout, workaholism and sometimes death from overwork, no time for family and friends, children left by themselves . . .

A 1992 survey by the U.S. National Recreation and Park Association found that 38 percent of Americans report “always” feeling rushed, up from 22 percent in 1971. In The Overworked American (also 1992) Juliet Schorr argued that Americans are working much longer hours, and more recently Joe Robinson in the Utne Reader (Sept.-Oct. 2000) claims that the United States has now passed Japan as the most overworked land in the industrialized world. He says that the husband and wife of an average US household are now working an average of 500 more hours a year than they did in 1980. Lou Harris public opinion polls have shown a 37 percent decrease in Americans’ reported leisure time over a twenty year period, leading him to assert that “Time may have become the most precious commodity in the land” (Levine 107). But what if commodifying time is itself the problem?

Momo was published in 1973. Since then, the temporal nightmare it depicts has become our reality.

**Momo**

Life holds one great but commonplace mystery . . . time. Calendars and clocks exist to measure time, but that signifies little because we all know that an hour can seem an eternity or pass in a flash, according to how we spend it. Time is life itself, and life resides in the human heart. (55)

Michael Ende (1929-1995) became world famous for his novels Momo (1973) and The Neverending Story (1979), both of which also became commercially successful films. Although Ende rejected the Hollywood film of Neverending Story and tried to stop its production, he authorized the film version of Momo and even appears as himself in the opening scene, adapted from the Author’s Postscript.

Momo is a homeless, gypsy-like street child who has the marvelous gift of truly listening to others. The plot of the novel thickens around a secret army of men in grey suits who are slowly taking over the city. They live on other people’s time, by constantly smoking cigars rolled from other people’s stolen
time-lilies. They promise their “clients” more time in the future, but in return their victims must save as much time as possible in the Time Bank by speeding up their work, cutting social life, and in the process destroying all joy in life. The mottoes of the grey men - all too familiar to us today - are “Time is precious - Don’t waste it! Time is money - Save it!” (67).

While Figaro the barber is in a bad mood, feeling he is a failure and doubting the value of his existence, one of the grey men persuades him to save time by eliminating all the activities that in reality give meaning and quality to his life: the time he spends with his elderly mother and friends, his reading, even his daydreaming. “The determination to save time now so as to be able to begin a new life sometime in the future had embedded itself in his soul like a poisoned arrow,” yet “no matter how much time he saved, he never had any to spare” (65). Chapter six ends: “People never seemed to notice that, by saving time, they were losing something else. No one cared to admit that life was becoming ever poorer, bleaker and more monotonous . . . [for] time is life itself, and life resides in the human heart, and the more people saved, the less they had” (68).

Ende also targets consumerism. After the grey men start changing society, children turn up with new toys that leave nothing to the imagination, leaving them “mesmerized but bored” (70-71). The grey men unsuccessfully tempt Momo with Lola the Living Doll, a talking Barbie-type with a never-ending wardrobe of clothes, accessories and even friends to accumulate, the perfect toy to teach children the important economic lesson that “There’s always something left to wish for” (85).

Because of their ability to live fully in the present, the grey men believe that “children present a greater threat to our work than anyone or anything else.” They persuade adults to legislate against the free time enjoyed by children, since children are “the raw material of the future,” the experts and technicians of tomorrow. In compulsory prison-like “child depots” (modern daycare centers and schools?), they are allowed only useful, educational games so that “they forgot how to be happy, how to take pleasure in little things, and, last but not least, how to dream” (167-8).

Momo escapes to the magical residence of Professor Secundus Minutus Hora, where “all the time in the world comes from” (142). He helps her fight back: he stops time for one hour by giving Momo a special time-flower, and during
that period she finds the grey men’s secret hoard of stolen, frozen time-lilies and releases all of them. The lily flowers return “to their true home in the hearts of mankind” and suddenly “people found they had plenty of time to spare” (234).

Children played in the middle of the street, getting in the way of cars whose drivers not only watched and waited, smiling broadly, but sometimes got out and joined in their games. People stood around chatting with the friendliness of those who take a genuine interest in their neighbours’ welfare. Other people, on their way to work, had time to stop and admire the flowers in a window-box or feed the birds. Doctors, too, had time to devote themselves properly to their patients, and workers of all kinds did their jobs with pride and loving care, now that they were no longer expected to turn out as much work as possible in the shortest possible time. (235)

Everyone’s values and sense of time returns to normal - in Momo, at least. But have the grey men won in our world? Do we still consider such a healthy sense of time normal?

**Commodified Time**

The time we call spring blossoms directly as an existence called flowers. The flowers, in turn, express the time called spring. This is not existence within time; existence itself is time. (Dogen)

As Momo implies, our problem with time today is not so very different from our problem with everything else. That problem is commodification, which tends to convert everything into marketable resources appreciated only according to their exchange value. The whole earth - our mother as well as our home - continues to be commodified in new and ingenious ways, most recently including the genetic codes of biological species and even the tragicomedy of carbon emission trading rights.

Even as accelerating economic globalization commodifies the earth into resources, human life is commodified into labor (work time), also bought and sold according to supply and demand. Today that applies to our understanding of time generally, the most precious “resource” of all because we
can never have too much of it. The grey men teach Momo’s friends that their time is a commodity that can be saved and invested.

The commodification of time was made possible, perhaps inevitable, by the clock. As clock-time became central to social organization, life became “centered around the emptying out of time (and space) and the development of an abstract, divisible and universally measurable calculation of time.” The collective objectification of clock-time means that now we all live according to it, for the complexities of our social interactions require such a continuum for their coordination - despite the fact that “our mechanical way of re-patterning time has led to a way of knowing it that is totally divorced from the real world. We have reduced time to pure number” (Aveni 135).

Aveni, an anthropologist who studies different temporal systems, also wonders if “Our quest for the precise time of day may go down in history as the greatest obsession of the twentieth century” (100). Before doing anything Gulliver looked at his watch; he called it his oracle. The Lilliputians concluded, quite naturally, that it must be his God. Premodern tribal societies, which lack such a precise and abstract reference point, continue to puzzle us because they do not objectify a time apart from the activities which occur “in” it. Evans-Pritchard’s classic study on the Nuer of central Africa rather wistfully concludes (103):

I do not think that they ever experience the same feeling of fighting against time or having to coordinate activities with an abstract passage of time, because their points of reference are mainly the activities themselves, which are generally of a leisurely character. Events follow in a logical order, but they are not controlled by an abstract system, there being no autonomous points of reference to which activities have to conform with precision.

According to Edward Hall, for the Hopi Indians as well time has no objective reality: “the Hopi cannot talk about summer being hot, because summer is the quality hot, just as an apple has the quality red” (Levine 94).

Clock-time or event time: with the former, objectified time is outside the activity and regulating it; with the latter, the time of an activity is integral to the activity itself. We can sometimes hear the difference in the way music is played: the notes march along following the time-signature (e.g., Toscanini),
or we are so absorbed in the notes that we do not notice the time-signature at all, because the music nondually embodies its own time (Furtwangler).

This suggests that our problem with time today may be characterized more precisely: it is the dualism we experience between an event and its time. For Mahayana Buddhism this is a fundamental delusion that contributes to our dukkha “unhappiness.” The Japanese Zen Buddhist master Dogen, who had perhaps the most to say about this dualism, deconstructed it by reducing each term to the other: by demonstrating that objects are time (objects have no self-existence because they are necessarily temporal, in which case they are not objects as usually understood); and, conversely, that time is objects (time manifests itself not in but as the ephemeral processes we call objects, in which case time is different than usually understood). “The time we call spring blossoms directly as an existence called flowers. The flowers, in turn, express the time called spring. This is not existence within time; existence itself is time” (in Matsunaga 68). In his Shobogenzo Dogen combines subject and predicate in the neologism uji, which is usually translated as “being-time” or “time-being”:

“Time-being” here means that time itself is being . . . and all being is time. Time is not separate from you, and as you are present, time does not go away.

Do not think that time merely flies away. Do not see flying away as the only function of time. If time merely flies away, you would be separated from time. The reason you do not clearly understand time-being is that you think of time as only passing. . . . People only see time’s coming and going, and do not thoroughly understand that time-being abides in each moment.

Time-being has the quality of flowing. . . . Because flowing is a quality of time, moments of past and present do not overlap or line up side by side.

Do not think flowing is like wind and rain moving from east to west. The entire world is not unchangeable, is not immovable. It flows. Flowing is like spring. Spring with all its numerous aspects is called flowing. When spring flows there is nothing outside of spring. (Dogen 76-80)

Such time cannot be saved because we are it. To treat it as a commodity is to be caught up in a delusion that makes us hurry up in order to have the time to slow down - the trap that Momo’s time-thieves encourage. The commod-
ifying attitude that tries to save time cannot help but carry over into the rest of our lives. Understanding time as a resource to be used like any other means we lose the ability to be it. It is another case of being objectified by our own objectifications.

If commodification of time is such a problem, what motivates us to do it? Why do we distinguish so sharply between clock (absolute) time and the events that happen “in” it?

For Aveni, our most basic motivation, the common denominator of our temporal schemas, is a quest for order, which is necessary to secure the cosmos and the self that inhabits it. “Temporally speaking, we desire the capacity to anticipate where things are going, to relieve our anxiety by peeking around nature’s corner as far as it will follow” (331). Deeper than the desire for order, however, is what Damian Thompson describes as our “deep-seated human urge to escape from time which, in the earliest societies, was usually met by dreams of a return to a golden past” (325). Christianity put an end to that, by situating us toward the end rather than the beginning of time. Yet that difference is less important than the common need to transcend time as we know it, for its ineluctable course carries us all to the same final destination - a destination we dread. When Mom asks Professor Hora if he is Death, he replies: “If people knew the nature of death, . . . they’d cease to be afraid of it. And if they ceased to be afraid of it, no one could rob them of their time any more” (144). Thompson sums up his study of apocalyptic time by concluding that the human understanding of time is always distorted by death: “The belief that mankind has reached the crucial moment in its history reflects an unwillingness to come to terms with the transience of human life and achievements. Our urge to celebrate the passing of time fails to conceal an even deeper urge to escape from it” (332).

Rest not! Life is sweeping by;
Go and dare before you die
Something mighty and sublime,
Leave behind to conquer time. (Goethe)

Who wouldn’t like to conquer time? Because whether or not time conquers all, it certainly conquers us. Goethe had a great fear of death (greater than most of us? or just more conscious?), which the symbolic immortality of his
literary success evidently did not allay. The compulsion to accomplish something does not need to be so dramatic. The psychoanalyst Neil Altman wrote in similar terms about his years as a Peace Corps volunteer in southern India:

It took a year for me to shed my American, culturally based feeling that I had to make something happen... Being an American, and a relatively obsessional American, my first strategy was to find security through getting something done, through feeling worthwhile accomplishing something. My time was something that had to be filled up with progress toward that goal. (quoted in Levine 204-5)

Individualistic cultures emphasize achievement more than affiliation. In psychoanalytic terms, the pressure we then feel to accomplish something is an introjection of the intentions we project outward into the world.

Since the self lacks any being or ground of its own, according to Buddhism, it can be understood as an ongoing process which seeks perpetually, because in vain, to feel secure, to make itself more real. If the modern, more individualized ego-self is that much more of a delusion, it will be all the more unsatisfied; but then it must explain that dissatisfaction: the reason must be that I have not attained my goals! Since the goals I do accomplish bring no satisfaction, I need more and more ambitious projects. . . .

Unfortunately, the same dynamic seems to be operating collectively: it explains our modern preoccupation with economic growth and technologically development. As Max Weber realised, this historical process has become all the more obsessive because it has no particular goal except “more and more . . . .” Ende understood this: in a talk given in Japan in July 1985, and later published in the Asahi Journal, he declared that “My urgent concern is how to set human beings free from the obsession of economic growth.”

The more objective time is for us, the more alienated is the sense of self that is in (i.e., other than) time, which therefore uses time in order to try to gain something from it - and the greater, too, is our awareness of the end of our own time. Our own sense of separation from time motivates us to try to secure ourselves within it, yet according to Buddhism the only satisfying solution is the essentially religious realization that we are not other than it.
Soon after Professor Hora tells Momo not to be afraid of death, he teaches her the secret of time by showing her the hour-lilies. Momo has a mystical experience watching the lilies blossom and fade away as time’s pendulum swings back and forth. She begins to hear music and then words: “the sun and moon and planets and stars were telling her their own, true names, and their names signified what they did and how they all combined to make each hour-lily flower and fade in turn.” She realizes with awe that “the entire universe was focused upon her like a single face of unimaginable size, looking at her and talking to her” (147). The Professor tells her that she has been in the depths of her own heart, watching her own time, for “There’s a place like the one you visited in every living soul, but only those who let me take them there can reach it, nor can it be seen with ordinary eyes” (148). Although Ende’s metaphors are different, this passage resonates in many ways with the Buddhist understanding of satori “enlightenment.”

For Dogen the interdependence of objects and time means that objects themselves are unreal, but their relativity also implies the unreality of objective time - and therefore the delusion involved in commodifying time. If there is only time then there is no time, because there can be no container (time) without a contained (objects). When there are no things that have an existence apart from time, then it makes no sense to speak of things as being young or old, or as aging. Dogen makes this point using the image of firewood and ashes:

Firewood becomes ash, and it does not become firewood again. Yet, do not suppose that the ash is future and the firewood past. You should understand that firewood abides in the phenomenal expression of firewood, which fully includes past and future and is independent of past and future. Ash abides in the phenomenal expression of ash, which fully includes future and past. Just as firewood does not become firewood again after it is ash, you do not return to birth after death.

This being so, it is an established way in buddha-dharma to deny that birth turns into death. Accordingly, birth is understood as no-birth. It is an unshakeable teaching in Buddha’s discourse that death does not turn into birth. Accordingly, death is understood as no-death.

Birth is an expression complete this moment. Death is an expression complete this moment. They are like winter and spring. You do not call winter the beginning of spring, nor summer the end of spring. (Dogen 70-1)
Because our life and death, like spring and summer, are not in time, they are timeless. If there is no one nontemporal who is born and dies, then there are only the events of birth and death. But if there are only those events, with no one in them, then there is no real birth and death. Or we may say that there is birth-and-death in every moment, with the arising and passing-away of each thought and act. Then there is nothing lacking in the present that needs to be fulfilled in the future, and spring is not an anticipation of summer: it is whole and complete in itself.

What implications does this have for our need to slow down and experience time in a different way? We conclude with a reflection on this question.

As Ende’s own conclusion suggests, an end to objectified, commodified time implies a “new” understanding of life as play. That is because play is what we are doing when we do not need to gain something from a situation. When we do not need to extract something from this time and place, we will not devalue it by contrasting here-and-now with some other location (e.g., heaven) or time (the future, or some Golden Age in the past). Then there will be time to join in the children’s games, to enjoy the flowers, and to do our jobs with loving care.

To resacralize such events would be to resacralize such times, and therefore time itself - no longer abstract, something that can be saved and banked, but always with a particular texture and flavor. If we did not need to gain something from our times, something that might survive our deaths, then we might recover an awareness of how essentially mysterious time is - the mystery Ende symbolizes in Professor Hora’s time-lilies, eternally blossoming and fading away as the pendulum swings back and forth . . .
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